

FRED M. PLEVIN (SBN 126185)
fplevin@paulplevin.com
MATTHEW J. SCHENCK (SBN 191623)
mschenck@paulplevin.com
**PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN &
CONNAUGHTON LLP**
101 West Broadway, Ninth Floor
San Diego, California 92101-8285
Telephone: 619-237-5200
Facsimile: 619-615-0700

Attorneys for Defendant
MICROSOFT CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH TECH EMPLOYEE
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
ALL ACTIONS

DESERAEE RYAN, and TRENT
RAU, individually and on behalf of
all others similarly situated;

Plaintiffs

vs.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a
Washington corporation;

Defendants.

MASTER DOC. NUMBER:

11-cv-02509-LHK

Case No. 5:14-cv-04634-EJD

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE
TIME FOR MICROSOFT
CORPORATION TO OPPOSE
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
CASES SHOULD BE RELATED; AND
ORDER THEREON**

///

///

///

1 Plaintiffs DESERAЕ RYAN and TRENT RAU (“Plaintiffs”), on the one
 2 hand, and Defendant MICROSOFT CORPROATION (“Microsoft”), on the other
 3 hand, (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their respective counsel,
 4 stipulate and agree as follows:

5 WHEREAS, on October 16, 2014, Plaintiffs filed an action against
 6 Microsoft styled Antitrust Class Action Complaint (Case No. 5:14-cv-04634-EJD),
 7 and Summons in that Action was issued as to Microsoft on October 17, 2014;

8 WHEREAS, Microsoft was served with the Summons and Complaint on
 9 October 23, 2014;

10 WHEREAS, on October 23, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for
 11 Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related (Dkt. No.
 12 1003);

13 WHEREAS, Microsoft has not yet responded to the Complaint and has not
 14 yet been served with Plaintiffs’ Notice of Motion and Motion for Administrative
 15 Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related;

16 WHEREAS, Microsoft’s opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Administrative
 17 Relief is currently due on or before October 27, 2014;

18 WHEREAS, Microsoft has requested Plaintiffs for an expansion of time to
 19 file an opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Administrative Relief so that it may
 20 have an adequate opportunity to review the complaint, retain appropriate counsel,
 21 and make appropriate argument, if necessary, to oppose Plaintiffs’ administrative
 22 motion;

23 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their respective counsel,
 24 hereby stipulate and agree, and respectfully request the Court grant their request
 25 and order as follows:

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Defendant Microsoft Corporation shall file its opposition to Plaintiffs'
2 Motion for Administrative Relief on or before November 10, 2014.

3
4 Dated: October 24, 2014

PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN &
CONNAUGHTON LLP

5
6 By: /s/ Matthew J. Schenck
7 FRED M. PLEVIN
8 MATTHEW J. SCHENCK
9 Attorneys for Defendant
10 MICROSOFT CORPORATION

11 Dated: October 24, 2014

THE MARKHAM LAW FIRM

12
13 By: /s/ Janine Menhennet
14 JANINE MENHENNET
15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs DESERAÉ
16 RYAN and TRENT RAU

17 **SIGNATURE CERTIFICATION**

18 I hereby attest that I have on file all holographic signatures corresponding to
19 any signatures indicated by a conformed signature (/S/) within this e-filed
20 document.

21 Dated: October 24, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

22
23 By: /s/ Matthew J. Schenck
24 Matthew J. Schenck
25 Attorney for Microsoft Corporation
26
27
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 27, 2014



HON. LUCY H. KOH
JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA